

Año 37, diciembre 2021 N°



Revista de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales ISSN 1012-1537/ ISSNe: 2477-9335 Depósito Legal pp 19340222045



Universidad del Zulia Facultad Experimental de Ciencias Departamento de Ciencias Humanas Maracaibo - Venezuela

opción

Revista de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales © 2021. Universidad del Zulia ISSN 1012-1587/ ISSNe: 2477-9385 Depósito legal pp. 198402ZU45 Portada: S/T. De la serie "RETORNO" Artista: Rodrigo Pirela Medidas: 25 x 30 cm Técnica: mixta sobre tela Año: 2009





Assessing the functioning of Saudi Arabian government schools as learning organizations

Gasem Aail Alharbi Jazan University, Saudi Arabia <u>d.k-alharbi@hotmail.com</u>

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to examine teachers' perceptions of school leaderships' practices towards employing the characteristics of Learning Organizations in public education schools in Saudi Arabia. Methodology: Data were collected through learning school questionnaire (LSQ) that were adapted from Goh (2003). Main Findings: One of the most important results of the research is agreement on the importance of school leaderships in achieving Learning Organizations, and there are difficulties, including centralization, the low level of administrative support in educational departments, and the ineffectiveness of organizational education training programs, which require financial. administrative and training stimulation develop to schools.

Keywords: Learning organizations; School leaderships; Teachers; Saudi Arabia.

Evaluación del funcionamiento de las escuelas gubernamentales de Arabia Saudita como organizaciones de aprendizaje

Resumen

El estudio examina las percepciones de los maestros sobre las prácticas de líderes escolares mediante la aplicación de las características de las organizaciones de aprendizaje en escuelas de educación pública en Arabia Saudita. Metodología: Los datos se recolectaron a través del cuestionario de escuelas de aprendizaje (LSQ) que fueron adaptados de Goh (2003). Principales Hallazgos: Principalmente se encontró que hay acuerdo sobre la importancia de los liderazgos escolares para lograr organizaciones de aprendizaje; como dificultades, se hallaron: centralización, bajo nivel de apoyo administrativo en los departamentos educativos e ineficacia de la formación educativa organizacional. Se requiere estímulo financiero-administrativo y de formación para el desarrollo de las escuelas.

Palabras clave: Organizaciones de aprendizaje, Liderazgo escolar, Profesores, Arabia Saudita.

1. Introduction

In recent years, increasing attention has been given to bringing about school improvement through the transformation of school systems into Learning Organizations.

The research that was conducted on this subject reveals that all educational organizations should be transformed into Learning Organizations to survive and cope with the great changes that have occurred in almost all fields in the 21st century. (RIINA, 2014).

Recently, there were inevitably desires for changing the administrative strategies of Saudi schools as far as their mission and vision are concerned. This change was due to the need for matching the modern trends in school management to surmount a lot of problems in several schools in the Arab countries, particularly Saudi Arabia and in the light of sustainable development to improve the students' learning, as well as the school leaderships (The High Level Political Forum for the year 2018). The school leadership are responsible for the efficient and effective functioning of the building and the occupants in it. (ALHARTHI, et al., 2018). They serve as a vital connecting link between the central office/board of education and classrooms and between teachers and parents. (KLINKER, 2006).

1.1. Research Questions

The current study seeks to answer the following questions:

First question:

To what extent are the identified Learning Organization characteristics supported by school leaderships in the views of some schools' teachers in Saudi Arabia?

Second question:

What are the obstacles that hinder transforming Saudi schools into Learning Organizations in the views of the schools' leadership in Saudi Arabia? Third question:

What are the suggestions that might enable schools to become Learning Organizations?

2. Research Method

A questionnaire has been developed to identify the characteristics that can be used to diagnose whether school leaderships are able to transform their school into a Learning Organization. We depended on many resources to identify characteristics that must be acquired by school leaderships to be able to create a learning school, for example GOH (2003), COPPIETERS (2005), JEREZ-GÓMEZ et al (2005).

The instrument used a five-point scale that ranged from high score happened to low score happened. The first version of the questionnaire, which consisted of (42) items, was originally pilot-tested on a group of fourteen participants for clarity. Furthermore, 10 specialist professors at Saudi universities checked the instrument as far as its content validity is concerned. On revision, the instrument was administered to a group of 247 leaderships from schools in Jazan region.

Reliability tests were conducted, and the instrument was further refined and expanded. In particular, items with low reliabilities and low factor loadings in relation to their corresponding constructs were deleted. The threshold used for factor loadings was 0.40. In its final format, the instrument consisted of (33) items. The overall reliability of the instrument was measured in terms of Coefficient alpha and was found to be 0.97. Again, only items pertaining to the earlier described Learning Organization dimensions are analyzed in this study.

Moreover, the reduced instrument from the factorial analysis was applied to the sample of the teachers in Saudi.

The sample included (221) teachers. This instrument was used to judge school leaderships ability to transform their schools into Learning Organizations.

3. Data Analysis

After the data from the school leaderships were collected, we used principal components analysis (PCA), with varimax rotation to determine (BROWN, 2009a) if the instrument was measuring the dimensions it was designed to measure and therefore empirically construct validation for the Learning Organization dimensions investigated by the study.

For this study, the criterion used in order to determine how many components to retain is that of Kaiser (only components whose eigenvalues are greater than 1 are retained). Finally, the internal homogeneity of each factor was determined by calculating the coefficient alpha. If coefficient alpha was found to be above 0.70, the factor was deemed reliable and exhibiting internal consistency at an acceptable level. After confirming the factorial validity, the instrument was applied to the subjects who were in Saudi teachers and school leadership, then the appropriate statistical techniques were used for analyzing data and answering the respective research questions

4. Results and Findings

The results of the statistical analyses are depicted in Tables 1 through 4. Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The results of the PCA as well as the Reliability of each factor are presented in Table 1. As shown, the PCA that used a varimax rotation produced a five-factor solution that accounted

For 63.5 percent of the total variance. The sample of school leaderships used for this analysis was (247) from Jazan region.

Factor	Eigen value	Variance (%)	Cronbach's Alpha
1	5.672	15.33	0.87
2	5.440	14. 70	0.91
3	4.475	12.09	0.92
4	4.401	11.89	0.90
5	3.481	9.407	0.89

 Table 1. Principal Component Analysis and Reliability Results of

 Learning School Dimensions

Factor Eigen value Variance (%) Cronbach's Alpha

As shown in the last Table, almost all factors had a reliability coefficient in the 0.87 to 0.92 range, which provides evidence of internal factor homogeneity. Alpha for all thirty-three learning school variables included in this article was measured at 0.95. The variables comprising each factor as well as the corresponding factor loadings are depicted in Table 2 as following.

	Item and Factor Description	1	2	3	4	5
	innovation and					
	experimentation					
1	People who are new in this	.754				
	school are encouraged to					
	question the way things are done.					
2	New ideas from employees are	.744				
	not treated seriously by school					
	management. (r)					
3	Innovative ideas that work is	.695				
	often rewarded by school					
	leadership.					
4	I my school encourages to	.680				
	Experimentation and Innovation					
	in the work.					
5	This school encourages the	.608				
	suitable environment for					
	constructing an open-dialogue at					
	school.					
6	My principal motivates suitable	.579				
	environment for trailing new					
	ideas presented by the working					
	staff.					
	supporting research and					
	learning					
7	Using procedural researches		.772			
	results for developing the school					
	work.					
8	Training the working employees		.758			
	for employing the procedural					
	researches to solve problems.					
9	Developing the staff's research		.755			
	skills for solving school					
	problems.					
10	Supporting the mechanisms that		.755			
	help in solving problems					
	scientifically rather than normal					
	and traditional ways.					
11	The school leadership pursuits		.748			
	teachers' practices in the light of					

Opción Revista de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales. FEC-LUZ

	the results of the procedural	
	researches.	
12	Enhancing the opportunities of	.531
12	the staff's continuing education.	.551
13	There are different mechanisms	.438
15	for dealing with the new	.436
	0	
	employees' queries about work.	
	Empowerment and leadership commitment	
14		.767
14	Management in this school	./0/
	frequently involves employees in	
15	important decisions.	720
15	Making decisions with the	.720
	agreement of all the staff.	
16	Encouraging team work groups	.708
	that are self- operating.	
17	Expanding the authority of	.705
	decision- making at school	
	settings.	
18	Forming team work groups for	.675
	solve-problems.	
19	Accepting and discussing the	.648
	consultancies and advices	
	presented by the working staff.	
	Knowledge Management	
20	Writing down new information	.667
	continuously.	
21	Encouraging the employees to	.667
	transmit and exchange learning	
	experiences among themselves.	
22	Failures are seldom	.618
	constructively discussed in our	
	school (r).	
23	Writing down and saving	.610
	knowledge via evidences,	.010
	databases, and files in order to	
	make use of then within new	
	situations.	
24	Employing / using/ information	.604
47	freely without setting any	.004
	restrictions between the staff.	
	restrictions between the stall.	

25	Creating the school climate for	.571
	acquiring new knowledge.	
26	In our school, there is a system	.538
	that helps us to learn good	
	practices from other schools.	
27	Supporting the flow of	.512
	information easily among	
	principals, and supervisors for all	
	staff.	
28	Using information technology in	.487
	circulating knowledge inside the	
	school.	
	Vision and mission of the	
	school	
29	In our school, there is clear	.701
	school vision and mission.	
30	Most of the school staff takes	.820
	part in preparing school vision	
	and mission.	
31	Unifying and mobilizing staff	.688
	efforts for achieving the vision	
	and mission.	
32	Identifying the goals and	.686
	procedural aims of school.	
33	Cooperating students and their	.522
	parents, and the school staff in	
	setting school objectives/aims.	

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization. (Brown, 2009a).

In short, the first rotated factor, which accounted for 15.33 percent of the total variance, had the highest factor loadings from five variables, which is characterized by trial of new ideas. This factor was thus named the innovation and experimentation, the second rotated factor, which accounted for 14.70 percent of the total variance, was composed of variables that collectively characterize the extent to which the organization support use of action research for problem solving in school and support for learning. This factor was thus called supporting research and learning. The third factor generated dealt with the extent to which the employees can participate in decision making in the school. This factor accounted for 12.09 percent of the total variance and was called empowerment and leadership commitment.

The fourth factor, which accounted for 11.89 percent of the total variance, pertained to the extent to which the employees have information, knowledge in order to perform their job in a professional manner. This factor was therefore labeled Knowledge Management. The fifth factor consisted of variables that describe clarity of vision and mission of school so this factor was therefore labeled vision and mission of the school.

The means, standard deviations, and correlations are among the factors summarized in Table 3.

	N.	М.	SD.	1	2	3	4	5	6
1- Innovation &	6	3.51	.87	1	0.65**	0.65**	0.75**	0.57**	0.87**
Experimentation									
2- supporting	7	3.20	.26		1	0.59**	0.74**	0.68**	0.87**
research & learning									
3- empowerment	6	3.48	.63			1	0.67**	0.52**	0.80^{**}
and Leadership									
commitment									
4- knowledge	9	3.26	.95				1	0.64**	0.90**
management									
5- vision and	5	3.23	.33					1	0.78**
mission of school									
6-Total	33								1

Table 3. Means, Standard Deviations, and internal Correlations

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

As shown in the last Table, all of the correlations between dimensions of learning school are significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed), this indicates internal constancy of the instrument.

Then, the effectiveness of the current study could be demonstrated in determining the characteristics of Learning Organizations by answering the following question:

First question: To what extent are the identified Learning Organization characteristics supported by school leaderships from the views of some schools' teachers in Saudi Arabia?

In relation to this question, the answer was worked out via identifying the minimum and maximum value of each measurement variable and arithmetic average and standard deviation. It was done by dividing the arithmetic rate of the maximum value for each variable after working out the percentage that indicates the principals' support of the characteristics of the Learning Organization in their schools. Table 4 displays the answer to the question described above.

		0		
	Ν	Mean	Std.	Rank
1-Experimentation &	221	3.51	4.08	1
Innovation				
2- supporting research and	221	3.20	4.79	5
learning				
3- empowerment and	221	3.48	4.44	2
Leadership commitment				
4- knowledge management	221	3.26	6.88	3
5- vision and mission of	221	3.23	3.53	4
school				

Table 4. Teachers' responses about Principals' Support to characteristics of the learning school

The previous table, according to the teachers' responses, shows that the total ratio of the school leadership' practices which support the learning school characteristics is high. but this indicates the school leaderships need to identify and support these characteristics in their schools. This result is relatively consistent with the recommendations for these two studies AGANDY & ALGEHENY (2018) and ALBLIWAI, TANASH, (2017).

The table shows that the knowledge management dimension is higher than the empowerment and Leadership commitment, but with the Experimentation & innovation dimension is lower than the supporting research and learning dimension,

These results can be explained in the light of some different cultural factors, especially, for example, the high income of a teacher and the availability of material resources is high and then made the overall ratio to the discretion of teachers to support the characteristics of a Learning Organization high in schools ,The central system of education made , the teachers are interested in implementing rules more than looking forward to develop creative students just following the regulations and laws. Although the overall percentage of the creation of schools as learning communities remains low, perhaps the reason behind this is due to the legislative factors such as the central control of the educational system in the country and some of the bureaucratic complications that reduce the freedom of schools and weaken the sense of independence and school-based management. (JACOBSON,et al.2011)

Second question: What are the obstacles that hinder transforming Saudi schools into Learning Organizations in the views of the schools' leaderships in Saudi Arabia?

In relation to the second question, the answer was worked out via the qualitative analysis of the school leaderships' responses in Saudi Arabia.

5. Conclusion

The current study has shown the important role of school leaderships in supporting the characteristics of the learning school. However, there are some likely restrictions that may hinder turning Saudi schools into Learning Organizations. There are similarities in these restrictions, as stated by the principals in Saudi schools, such as the prominent centralized administration in the educational system in spite of the fact that there are recent attempts towards decentralization. Furthermore, it is due to the low-level organizational support of the school from educational administrations and the lack of the effectiveness of the training programs for school leaderships, particularly those of organizational learning skills. Therefore. The Kingdom seeks to develop leadership side by side with modern trends to reach the learning organization. Among these are expanding the authorities given to school leaderships, enhancing continuing learning opportunities for teaching and administrative staff at schools, financial support from the side of the ministry and school districts, improving the school climate, and motivating training programs that fulfil staff's needs and desires at school.

Limitation and study forward

Selecting and examining larger samples can help in analyzing.

Acknowledgment

All thanks and appreciation for the cooperation of school leaderships and teachers in Jazan region.

REFERENCES

- AGANDY, A. M. Z. & ALGEHENY, A. M. Z. 2018."The degree to which school leaders practice technical competencies in light of development strategies Development of public education in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia". Journal of the Faculty of Education, Sohag University, issue No.51:71 – 112.
- ALBLIWAI. N.K & TANASH. S.Y. 2017. "Developing Performance Assessment Tool for Public Education Principals within Tabuk School District, KSA". Journal Faculty of Educational Sciences, The University of Jordan, Vol. 44 (2): 211-232
- ALHARTHI, S.; ALHARTHI, A. & ALHARTHI, M. 2018. "Sustainable Development Goals In The Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia's 2030 Vision". WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment, No. 238: 455-467. Disponoble en: <u>SC19040FU1.pdf</u> (witpress.com) Consultado el: 19.02.2021
- BROWN, J. D. 2009a. "Statistics Corner. Questions and answers about language testing statistics: Principal components analysis and exploratory factor analysis. Definitions, differences, and choices".
 Shiken: JALT Testing & Evaluation SIG Newsletter, Vol.13 No.1: 26-30. Disponible en: <u>http://jalt.org/test/bro 29.htm</u> Consultado el: 02.05.2021
- COPPIETERS, Piet. 2005. "Turning schools into Learning Organizations". European Journal of Teacher Education.Vol.28, No. 129-139. Disponible 2: en: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/0261976050009 3131?scroll=top&needAccess=true Consultado el: 24.04.2021
- GOH, S. C. 2003. "Improving organizational learning capability: lessons from two case studies". **The Learning Organization**.Vol.10, No.4: 216-227. Disponible en: <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242339516 Improving</u> <u>organizational learning capability Lessons from two case stu</u> <u>dies</u> Consultado el: 22.03.2021
- JACOBSON, S.; JOHANNSON, O. & DAY, C. 2011. Preparing school leaders to lead Organizational learning and capacity building. Stephen Jacobson, Olof Johannson& Christopher Day. Chapter No. 6: 1-42 Disponible en: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227017669_Preparing _______School Leaders to Lead Organizational Learning and Capacit y_Building Consultado el: 19.06.2021

- JEREZ-GÓMEZ, P.; CÉSPEDES-LORENTE, J. y VALLE-CABRERA, R. 2005. "Organizational learning capability: a proposal of measurement". Journal of Business Research. Vol.58: 715-725. Dicponible en: <u>https://econpapers.repec.org/article/eceipbrese/v_3a58_3ay_3a20</u> 05_3ai_3a6_3ap_3a715-725.htm Consultado el: 10.07.2021
- KLINKER, J. F. 2006. "Principalship". In Fenwick W. (Editor), Encyclopedia of Educational Leadership and Administration. Vol. 2. Sage Publications, Inc., California (USA).





OPCION Revista de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales

Año 37, N° 96 (2021)

Esta revista fue editada en formato digital por el personal de la Oficina de Publicaciones Científicas de la Facultad Experimental de Ciencias, Universidad del Zulia. Maracaibo - Venezuela

www.luz.edu.ve www.serbi.luz.edu.ve produccioncientifica.luz.edu.ve