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#### Abstract

A necessary and sufficient condition in terms of lower cut sets are given for the insertion of a Baire-. 5 function between two comparable real-valued functions on the topological spaces that $F_{\sigma}$-kernel of sets are $F_{\sigma}$-sets.
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## Resumen

Se proporciona una condición necesaria y suficiente en términos de conjuntos de cortes inferiores para la inserción de una función Baire-. 5 entre dos funciones comparables de valores reales en los espacios topológicos donde el $F_{\sigma}$-kernel de los conjuntos es $F_{\sigma}$-sets.

Palabras y frases clave: Inserción, relación binaria fuerte, funcin Baire-.5, núcleo de conjuntos, conjunto de corte inferior.

## 1 Introduction

A generalized class of closed sets was considered by Maki in 1986 [16]. He investigated the sets that can be represented as union of closed sets and called them $V$-sets. Complements of $V$-sets, i.e., sets that are intersection of open sets are called $\Lambda$-sets [16].

Recall that a real-valued function $f$ defined on a topological space $X$ is called $A$-continuous [21] if the preimage of every open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ belongs to $A$, where $A$ is a collection of subsets of $X$. Most of the definitions of function used throughout this paper are consequences of the definition of $A$-continuity. However, for unknown concepts the reader may refer to [4, 10]. In the recent literature many topologists had focused their research in the direction of investigating different types of generalized continuity.

[^0]J. Dontchev in [5] introduced a new class of mappings called contra-continuity. A good number of researchers have also initiated different types of contra-continuous like mappings in the papers $[1,3,7,8,9,11,12,20]$.

Results of Katětov [13, 14] concerning binary relations and the concept of an indefinite lower cut set for a real-valued function, which is due to Brooks [2], are used in order to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the insertion of a Baire-. 5 function between two comparable realvalued functions on the topological spaces that $F_{\sigma}$-kernel of sets are $F_{\sigma}$-sets.

A real-valued function $f$ defined on a topological space $X$ is called contra-Baire-1 (Baire-.5) if the preimage of every open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set in $X$ [22]. If $g$ and $f$ are real-valued functions defined on a space $X$, we write $g \leq f$ (resp. $g<f$ ) in case $g(x) \leq f(x)$ (resp. $g(x)<f(x)$ ) for all $x$ in $X$.

The following definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [15].
A property $P$ defined relative to a real-valued function on a topological space is a $B-.5$ property provided that any constant function has property $P$ and provided that the sum of a function with property $P$ and any Baire-. 5 function also has property $P$. If $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are $B-.5$-properties, the following terminology is used:
(i) A space $X$ has the weak $B$-.5-insertion property for $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ if and only if for any functions $g$ and $f$ on $X$ such that $g \leq f, g$ has property $P_{1}$ and $f$ has property $P_{2}$, then there exists a Baire- .5 function $h$ such that $g \leq h \leq f$.
(ii) A space $X$ has the $B-.5$-insertion property for $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ if and only if for any functions $g$ and $f$ on $X$ such that $g<f, g$ has property $P_{1}$ and $f$ has property $P_{2}$, then there exists a Baire-. 5 function $h$ such that $g<h<f$.

In this paper, for a topological space that $F_{\sigma}$-kernel of sets are $F_{\sigma}$-sets, is given a sufficient condition for the weak $B-.5$-insertion property. Also for a space with the weak $B-.5$-insertion property, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the space to have the $B-.5$-insertion property. Several insertion theorems are obtained as corollaries of these results.

## 2 The Main Result

Before giving a sufficient condition for insertability of a Baire-. 5 function, the necessary definitions and terminology are stated.

Definition 2.1. Let $A$ be a subset of a topological space $(X, \tau)$. We define the subsets $A^{\Lambda}$ and $A^{V}$ as follows:

$$
A^{\Lambda}=\bigcap\{O: O \supseteq A, O \in(X, \tau)\} \quad \text { and } \quad A^{V}=\bigcup\left\{F: F \subseteq A, F^{c} \in(X, \tau)\right\} .
$$

In $[6,17,19], A^{\Lambda}$ is called the kernel of $A$.
We define the subsets $G_{\delta}(A)$ and $F_{\sigma}(A)$ as follows:

$$
G_{\delta}(A)=\bigcup\left\{O: O \subseteq A, O \text { is } G_{\delta} \text {-set }\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad F_{\sigma}(A)=\bigcap\left\{F: F \supseteq A, F \text { is } F_{\sigma} \text {-set }\right\}
$$

$F_{\sigma}(A)$ is called the $F_{\sigma}$-kernel of $A$.
The following Lemma is a direct consequence of the definition $F_{\sigma}$-kernel of sets.

Lemma 2.1. The following conditions on the space $X$ are equivalent:
(i) For every $G$ of $G_{\delta}$-set we have $F_{\sigma}(G)$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set.
(ii) For each pair of disjoint $G_{\delta}$-sets as $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ we have $F_{\sigma}\left(G_{1}\right) \cap F_{\sigma}\left(G_{2}\right)=\emptyset$.

The following first two definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [13, 14].
Definition 2.2. If $\rho$ is a binary relation in a set $S$ then $\bar{\rho}$ is defined as follows: $x \bar{\rho} y$ if and only if $y \rho \nu$ implies $x \rho \nu$ and $u \rho x$ implies $u \rho y$ for any $u$ and $v$ in $S$.

Definition 2.3. A binary relation $\rho$ in the power set $P(X)$ of a topological space $X$ is called a strong binary relation in $P(X)$ in case $\rho$ satisfies each of the following conditions:

1. If $A_{i} \rho B_{j}$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and for any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then there exists a set $C$ in $P(X)$ such that $A_{i} \rho C$ and $C \rho B_{j}$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
2. If $A \subseteq B$, then $A \bar{\rho} B$.
3. If $A \rho B$, then $F_{\sigma}(A) \subseteq B$ and $A \subseteq G_{\delta}(B)$.

The concept of a lower indefinite cut set for a real-valued function was defined by Brooks [2] as follows:

Definition 2.4. If $f$ is a real-valued function defined on a space $X$ and if $\{x \in X: f(x)<\ell\} \subseteq$ $A(f, \ell) \subseteq\{x \in X: f(x) \leq \ell\}$ for a real number $\ell$, then $A(f, \ell)$ is a lower indefinite cut set in the domain of $f$ at the level $\ell$.

We now give the following main results:
Theorem 2.1. Let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions on the topological space $X$, that $F_{\sigma}$-kernel of sets in $X$ are $F_{\sigma}-$ sets, with $g \leq f$. If there exists a strong binary relation $\rho$ on the power set of $X$ and if there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f, t)$ and $A(g, t)$ in the domain of $f$ and $g$ at the level $t$ for each rational number $t$ such that if $t_{1}<t_{2}$ then $A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(g, t_{2}\right)$, then there exists a Baire-. 5 function $h$ defined on $X$ such that $g \leq h \leq f$.
Proof. Let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions defined on the $X$ such that $g \leq f$. By hypothesis there exists a strong binary relation $\rho$ on the power set of $X$ and there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f, t)$ and $A(g, t)$ in the domain of $f$ and $g$ at the level $t$ for each rational number $t$ such that if $t_{1}<t_{2}$ then $A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(g, t_{2}\right)$.

Define functions $F$ and $G$ mapping the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ into the power set of $X$ by $F(t)=A(f, t)$ and $G(t)=A(g, t)$. If $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then $F\left(t_{1}\right) \bar{\rho} F\left(t_{2}\right), G\left(t_{1}\right) \bar{\rho} G\left(t_{2}\right)$, and $F\left(t_{1}\right) \rho G\left(t_{2}\right)$. By Lemmas 1 and 2 of [14] it follows that there exists a function $H$ mapping $\mathbb{Q}$ into the power set of $X$ such that if $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any rational numbers with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then $F\left(t_{1}\right) \rho H\left(t_{2}\right), H\left(t_{1}\right) \rho H\left(t_{2}\right)$ and $H\left(t_{1}\right) \rho G\left(t_{2}\right)$.

For any $x$ in $X$, let $h(x)=\inf \{t \in \mathbb{Q}: x \in H(t)\}$. We first verify that $g \leq h \leq f:$ If $x$ is in $H(t)$ then $x$ is in $G\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ for any $t^{\prime}>t$; since $x$ in $G\left(t^{\prime}\right)=A\left(g, t^{\prime}\right)$ implies that $g(x) \leq t^{\prime}$, it follows that $g(x) \leq t$. Hence $g \leq h$. If $x$ is not in $H(t)$, then $x$ is not in $F\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ for any $t^{\prime}<t$; since $x$ is not in $F\left(t^{\prime}\right)=A\left(f, t^{\prime}\right)$ implies that $f(x)>t^{\prime}$, it follows that $f(x) \geq t$. Hence $h \leq f$.

Also, for any rational numbers $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, we have

$$
h^{-1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=G_{\delta}\left(H\left(t_{2}\right)\right) \backslash F_{\sigma}\left(H\left(t_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Hence $h^{-1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is a $G_{\delta^{-}}$-set in $X$, i.e., $h$ is a Baire-. 5 function on $X$.

The above proof used the technique of Theorem 1 of [13].

Theorem 2.2. Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be $B-.5-p r o p e r t y ~ a n d ~ X ~ b e ~ a ~ s p a c e ~ t h a t ~ s a t i s f i e s ~ t h e ~ w e a k ~ B-.5-~$ insertion property for $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$. Also assume that $g$ and $f$ are functions on $X$ such that $g<f, g$ has property $P_{1}$ and $f$ has property $P_{2}$. The space $X$ has the $B-.5$-insertion property for $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ if and only if there exist lower cut sets $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)$ and there exists a decreasing sequence $\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ of subsets of $X$ with empty intersection and such that for each $n, X \backslash D_{n}$ and $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)$ are completely separated by Baire-. 5 functions.

Proof. Theorem 2.1 of [18].

## 3 Applications

Definition 3.1. A real-valued function $f$ defined on a space $X$ is called contra-upper semi-Baire.5 (resp. contra-lower semi-Baire-.5) if $f^{-1}(-\infty, t)$ (resp. $f^{-1}(t,+\infty)$ ) is a $G_{\delta}$-set for any real number $t$.

The abbreviations $u s c, l s c, c u s B-.5$ and $c l s B-.5$ are used for upper semicontinuous, lower semicontinuous, contra-upper semi-Baire-.5, and contra-lower semi-Baire-.5, respectively.

Remark 3.1. [13, 14]. A space $X$ has the weak $c$-insertion property for $(u s c, l s c)$ if and only if $X$ is normal.

Before stating the consequences of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we suppose that $X$ is a topological space that $F_{\sigma}$-kernel of sets are $F_{\sigma}$-sets.

Corollary 3.1. For each pair of disjoint $F_{\sigma}$-sets $F_{1}, F_{2}$, there are two $G_{\delta}$-sets $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ such that $F_{1} \subseteq G_{1}, F_{2} \subseteq G_{2}$ and $G_{1} \cap G_{2}=\emptyset$ if and only if $X$ has the weak $B-.5$-insertion property for (cusB - .5, clsB - .5).

Proof. Let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions defined on the $X$, such that $f$ is $l s B_{1}, g$ is $u s B_{1}$, and $g \leq f$.If a binary relation $\rho$ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $F_{\sigma}(A) \subseteq G_{\delta}(B)$, then by hypothesis $\rho$ is a strong binary relation in the power set of $X$. If $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then

$$
A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{x \in X: f(x) \leq t_{1}\right\} \subseteq\left\{x \in X: g(x)<t_{2}\right\} \subseteq A\left(g, t_{2}\right)
$$

since $\left\{x \in X: f(x) \leq t_{1}\right\}$ is a $F_{\sigma}$-set and since $\left\{x \in X: g(x)<t_{2}\right\}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set, it follows that $F_{\sigma}\left(A\left(f, t_{1}\right)\right) \subseteq G_{\delta}\left(A\left(g, t_{2}\right)\right)$. Hence $t_{1}<t_{2}$ implies that $A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(g, t_{2}\right)$. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1.

On the other hand, let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are disjoint $F_{\sigma}$-sets. Set $f=\chi_{F_{1}^{c}}$ and $g=\chi_{F_{2}}$, then $f$ is $c l s B-.5, g$ is cus $B-.5$, and $g \leq f$. Thus there exists Baire-. 5 function $h$ such that $g \leq h \leq f$. Set $G_{1}=\left\{x \in X: h(x)<\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ and $G_{2}=\left\{x \in X: h(x)>\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, then $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are disjoint $G_{\delta}$-sets such that $F_{1} \subseteq G_{1}$ and $F_{2} \subseteq G_{2}$.

Remark 3.2. [23]. A space $X$ has the weak $c$-insertion property for (lsc,usc) if and only if $X$ is extremally disconnected.

Corollary 3.2. For every $G$ of $G_{\delta}$-set, $F_{\sigma}(G)$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set if and only if $X$ has the weak $B-.5-$ insertion property for (clsB-.5, cusB-.5).

Proof. Let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions defined on the $X$, such that $f$ is cls $B-.5, g$ is cus $B-.5$, and $f \leq g$. If a binary relation $\rho$ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $F_{\sigma}(A) \subseteq G \subseteq F_{\sigma}(G) \subseteq$ $G_{\delta}(B)$ for some $G_{\delta}$-set $g$ in $X$, then by hypothesis and Lemma $2.1 \rho$ is a strong binary relation in the power set of $X$. If $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then

$$
A\left(g, t_{1}\right)=\left\{x \in X: g(x)<t_{1}\right\} \subseteq\left\{x \in X: f(x) \leq t_{2}\right\}=A\left(f, t_{2}\right)
$$

since $\left\{x \in X: g(x)<t_{1}\right\}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set and since $\left\{x \in X: f(x) \leq t_{2}\right\}$ is a $F_{\sigma}$-set, by hypothesis it follows that $A\left(g, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(f, t_{2}\right)$. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1.

On the other hand, Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are disjoint $G_{\delta}$-sets. Set $f=\chi_{G_{2}}$ and $g=\chi_{G_{1}^{c}}$, then $f$ is $c l s B-.5, g$ is $c u s B-.5$, and $f \leq g$.

Thus there exists Baire-. 5 function $h$ such that $f \leq h \leq g$. Set $F_{1}=\left\{x \in X: h(x) \leq \frac{1}{3}\right\}$ and $F_{2}=\{x \in X: h(x) \geq 2 / 3\}$ then $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are disjoint $F_{\sigma}$-sets such that $G_{1} \subseteq F_{1}$ and $G_{2} \subseteq F_{2}$. Hence $F_{\sigma}\left(F_{1}\right) \cap F_{\sigma}\left(F_{2}\right)=\emptyset$.

Before starting the consequences of Theorem 2.2, we state and prove some necessary lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. The following conditions on the space $X$ are equivalent:
(i) Every two disjoint $F_{\sigma}$-sets of $X$ can be separated by $G_{\delta}$-sets of $X$.
(ii) If $F$ is a $F_{\sigma}$-set of $X$ which is contained in a $G_{\delta}$-set $G$, then there exists a $G_{\delta}$-set $H$ such that $F \subseteq H \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H) \subseteq G$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that $F \subseteq G$, where $F$ and $G$ are $F_{\sigma}$-set and $G_{\delta}$-set of $X$, respectively. Hence, $G^{c}$ is a $F_{\sigma}$-set and $F \cap G^{c}=\emptyset$.

By (i) there exists two disjoint $G_{\delta}$-sets $G_{1}, G_{2}$ such that $F \subseteq G_{1}$ and $G^{c} \subseteq G_{2}$. But

$$
G^{c} \subseteq G_{2} \Rightarrow G_{2}^{c} \subseteq G
$$

and

$$
G_{1} \cap G_{2}=\emptyset \Rightarrow G_{1} \subseteq G_{2}^{c}
$$

hence

$$
F \subseteq G_{1} \subseteq G_{2}^{c} \subseteq G
$$

and since $G_{2}^{c}$ is a $F_{\sigma}$-set containing $G_{1}$ we conclude that $F_{\sigma}\left(G_{1}\right) \subseteq G_{2}^{c}$, i.e.,

$$
F \subseteq G_{1} \subseteq F_{\sigma}\left(G_{1}\right) \subseteq G
$$

By setting $H=G_{1}$, condition (ii) holds.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are two disjoint $F_{\sigma}$-sets of $X$.

This implies that $F_{1} \subseteq F_{2}^{c}$ and $F_{2}^{c}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set. Hence by (ii) there exists a $G_{\delta}$-set $H$ such that, $F_{1} \subseteq H \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H) \subseteq F_{2}^{c}$. But

$$
H \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H) \Rightarrow H \cap\left(F_{\sigma}(H)\right)^{c}=\emptyset
$$

and

$$
F_{\sigma}(H) \subseteq F_{2}^{c} \Rightarrow F_{2} \subseteq\left(F_{\sigma}(H)\right)^{c}
$$

Furthermore, $\left(F_{\sigma}(H)\right)^{c}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set of $X$. Hence $F_{1} \subseteq H, F_{2} \subseteq\left(F_{\sigma}(H)\right)^{c}$ and $H \cap\left(F_{\sigma}(H)\right)^{c}=\emptyset$. This means that condition (i) holds.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $X$ is the topological space such that we can separate every two disjoint $F_{\sigma}-$ sets by $G_{\delta}-$ sets. If $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are two disjoint $F_{\sigma}-$ sets of $X$, then there exists a Baire-. 5 function $h: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $h\left(F_{1}\right)=\{0\}$ and $h\left(F_{2}\right)=\{1\}$.

Proof. Suppose $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are two disjoint $F_{\sigma^{-}}$-sets of $X$. Since $F_{1} \cap F_{2}=\emptyset$, hence $F_{1} \subseteq F_{2}^{c}$. In particular, since $F_{2}^{c}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set of $X$ containing $F_{1}$, by Lemma 3.1, there exists a $G_{\delta}$-set $H_{1 / 2}$ such that,

$$
F_{1} \subseteq H_{1 / 2} \subseteq F_{\sigma}\left(H_{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq F_{2}^{c}
$$

Note that $H_{1 / 2}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set and contains $F_{1}$, and $F_{2}^{c}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set and contains $F_{\sigma}\left(H_{1 / 2}\right)$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, there exists $G_{\delta}$-sets $H_{1 / 4}$ and $H_{3 / 4}$ such that,

$$
F_{1} \subseteq H_{1 / 4} \subseteq F_{\sigma}\left(H_{1 / 4}\right) \subseteq H_{1 / 2} \subseteq F_{\sigma}\left(H_{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq H_{3 / 4} \subseteq F_{\sigma}\left(H_{3 / 4}\right) \subseteq F_{2}^{c}
$$

By continuing this method for every $t \in D$, where $D \subseteq[0,1]$ is the set of rational numbers that their denominators aremexponents of 2 , we obtain $G_{\delta}$-sets $H_{t}$ with the property that if $t_{1}, t_{2} \in D$ and $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then $H_{t_{1}} \subseteq H_{t_{2}}$. We define the function $h$ on $X$ by $h(x)=\inf \left\{t: x \in H_{t}\right\}$ for $x \notin F_{2}$ and $h(x)=1$ for $x \in F_{2}$.

Note that for every $x \in X, 0 \leq h(x) \leq 1$, i.e., $h$ maps $X$ into $[0,1]$. Also, we note that for any $t \in D, F_{1} \subseteq H_{t}$; hence $h\left(F_{1}\right)=\{0\}$. Furthermore, by definition, $h\left(F_{2}\right)=\{1\}$. It remains only to prove that $h$ is a Baire- 5 function on $X$. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have if $\alpha \leq 0$ then $\{x \in X: h(x)<\alpha\}=\emptyset$ and if $0<\alpha$ then $\{x \in X: h(x)<\alpha\}=\cup\left\{H_{t}: t<\alpha\right\}$. Hence, they are $G_{\delta}$-sets of $X$. Similarly, if $\alpha<0$ then $\{x \in X: h(x)>\alpha\}=X$ and if $0 \leq \alpha$ then $\{x \in X: h(x)>\alpha\}=\cup\left\{\left(F_{\sigma}\left(H_{t}\right)\right)^{c}: t>\alpha\right\}$ hence, every of them is a $G_{\delta}$-set. Consequently $h$ is a Baire- .5 function.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $X$ is the topological space such that every two disjoint $F_{\sigma}$-sets can be separated by $G_{\delta}-$ sets. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) Every countable convering of $G_{\delta}$-sets of $X$ has a refinement consisting of $G_{\delta}$-sets such that, for every $x \in X$, there exists a $G_{\delta}$-set containing $x$ such that it intersects only finitely many members of the refinement.
(ii) Corresponding to every decreasing sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ of $F_{\sigma}$-sets with empty intersection there exists a decreasing sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ of $G_{\delta}$-sets such that, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}=\emptyset$ and for every $n \in$ $\mathbb{N}, F_{n} \subseteq G_{n}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). suppose that $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ be a decreasing sequence of $F_{\sigma}$-sets with empty intersection. Then $\left\{F_{n}^{c}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a countable covering of $G_{\delta}$-sets. By hypothesis (i) and Lemma ??, this covering has a refinement $\left\{V_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ such that every $V_{n}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set and $F_{\sigma}\left(V_{n}\right) \subseteq F_{n}^{c}$. By setting $F_{n}=\left(F_{\sigma}\left(V_{n}\right)\right)^{c}$, we obtain a decreasing sequence of $G_{\delta}$-sets with the required properties.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Now if $\left\{H_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a countable covering of $G_{\delta}$-sets, we set for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_{n}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}\right)^{c}$. Then $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of $F_{\sigma}$-sets with empty intersection. By (ii) there exists a decreasing sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ consisting of $G_{\delta}$-sets such that, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}=\emptyset$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{n} \subseteq G_{n}$. Now we define the subsets $W_{n}$ of $X$ in the following manner:

- $W_{1}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set of $X$ such that $G_{1}^{c} \subseteq W_{1}$ and $F_{\sigma}\left(W_{1}\right) \cap F_{1}=\emptyset$.
- $W_{2}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set of $X$ such that $F_{\sigma}\left(W_{1}\right) \cup G_{2}^{c} \subseteq W_{2}$ and $F_{\sigma}\left(W_{2}\right) \cap F_{2}=\emptyset$, and so on. (By Lemma 3.1, $W_{n}$ exists).

Then since $\left\{G_{n}^{c}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a covering for $X$, hence $\left\{W_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a covering for $X$ consisting of $G_{\delta}$-sets. Moreover, we have

1. $F_{\sigma}\left(W_{n}\right) \subseteq W_{n+1}$.
2. $G_{n}^{c} \subseteq W_{n}$.
3. $W_{n} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}$.

Now suppose that $S_{1}=W_{1}$ and for $n \geq 2$, we set $S_{n}=W_{n+1} \backslash F_{\sigma}\left(W_{n-1}\right)$. Then since $F_{\sigma}\left(W_{n-1}\right) \subseteq W_{n}$ and $S_{n} \supseteq W_{n+1} \backslash W_{n}$, it follows that $\left\{S_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ consists of $G_{\delta}$-sets and covers $X$. Furthermore, $S_{i} \cap S_{j} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|i-j| \leq 1$. Finally, consider the following sets:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1} \cap H_{1}, \quad S_{1} \cap H_{2} \\
S_{2} \cap H_{1}, \quad S_{2} \cap H_{2}, \quad S_{2} \cap H_{3} \\
S_{3} \cap H_{1}, \quad S_{3} \cap H_{2}, \quad S_{3} \cap H_{3}, \quad S_{3} \cap H_{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

and continue ad infinitum. These sets are $G_{\delta}$-sets, cover $X$ and refine $\left\{H_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. In addition, $S_{i} \cap H_{j}$ can intersect at most the sets in its row, immediately above, or immediately below row.

Hence if $x \in X$ and $x \in S_{n} \cap H_{m}$, then $S_{n} \cap H_{m}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set containing $x$ that intersects at most finitely many of sets $S_{i} \cap H_{j}$. Consequently, $\left\{S_{i} \cap H_{j}: i \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, i+1\right\}$ refines $\left\{H_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ such that its elements are $G_{\delta}$-sets, and for every point in $X$ we can find a $G_{\delta}$-set containing the point that intersects only finitely many elements of that refinement.

Remark 3.3. [13, 14]. A space $X$ has the $c$-insertion property for $(u s c, l s c)$ if and only if $X$ is normal and countably paracompact.

Corollary 3.3. $X$ has the $B-.5$-insertion property for (cusB-.5, clsB-.5) if and only if every two disjoint $F_{\sigma}$-sets of $X$ can be separated by $G_{\delta}$-sets, and in addition, every countable covering of $G_{\delta}$-sets has a refinement that consists of $G_{\delta}$-sets such that, for every point of $X$ we can find $a G_{\delta}$-set containing that point such that, it intersects only a finite number of refining members.

Proof. Suppose that $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are disjoint $F_{\sigma}$-sets. Since $F_{1} \cap F_{2}=\emptyset$, it follows that $F_{2} \subseteq F_{1}^{c}$. We set $f(x)=2$ for $x \in F_{1}^{c}, f(x)=\frac{1}{2}$ for $x \notin F_{1}^{c}$, and $g=\chi_{F_{2}}$. Since $F_{2}$ is a $F_{\sigma}$-set, and $F_{1}^{c}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set, therefore $g$ is cusB-.5, $f$ is $c l s B-.5$ and furthermore $g<f$. Hence by hypothesis there exists a Baire-. 5 function $h$ such that, $g<h<f$. Now by setting $G_{1}=\{x \in X: h(x)<1\}$ and $G_{2}=\{x \in X: h(x)>1\}$. We can say that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are disjoint $G_{\delta}$-sets that contain $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, respectively. Now suppose that $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of $F_{\sigma}$-sets with empty intersection. Set $F_{0}=X$ and define for every $x \in F_{n} \backslash F_{n+1}, f(x)=\frac{1}{n+1}$. Since $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n}=\emptyset$ and for every $x \in X$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that, $x \in F_{n} \backslash F_{n+1}, f$ is well defined. Furthermore, for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, if $r \leq 0$ then $\{x \in X: f(x)>r\}=X$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set and if $r>0$ then by Archimedean property of $\mathbb{R}$, we can find $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{i+1} \leq r$. Now suppose that $k$ is the least natural number such that $\frac{1}{k+1} \leq r$. Hence $\frac{1}{k}>r$ and consequently, $\{x \in X: f(x)>r\}=X \backslash F_{k}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set. Therefore, $f$ is $c l s B-.5$. By setting $g=0$, we have $g$ is cus $B-.5$ and $g<f$. Hence by hypothesis there exists a Baire-. 5 function $h$ on $X$ such that, $g<h<f$.

By setting $G_{n}=\left\{x \in X: h(x)<\frac{1}{n+1}\right\}$, we have $G_{n}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set. But for every $x \in F_{n}$, we have $f(x) \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$ and since $g<h<f$ therefore $0<h(x)<\frac{1}{n+1}$, i.e., $x \in G_{n}$ therefore $F_{n} \subseteq G_{n}$ and since $h>0$ it follows that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}=\emptyset$. Hence by Lemma 3.3, the conditions holds.

On the other hand, since every two disjoint $F_{\sigma}$-sets can be separated by $G_{\delta}$-sets, therefore by Corollary $3.1, X$ has the weak $B-.5$-insertion property for $(c u s B-.5, c l s B-.5)$. Now suppose that $f$ and $g$ are real-valued functions on $X$ with $g<f$, such that, $g$ is cus $B-.5$ and $f$ is $c l s B-.5$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$
A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)=\left\{x \in X:(f-g)(x) \leq 3^{-n+1}\right\}
$$

Since $g$ is cus $B-.5$, and $f$ is $c l s B-.5$, therefore $f-g$ is $c l s B-.5$. Hence $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)$ is a $F_{\sigma}$-set of $X$. Consequently, $\left\{A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of $F_{\sigma}$-sets and furthermore since $0<f-g$, it follows that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)=\emptyset$. Now by Lemma 3.3, there exists a decreasing sequence $\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ of $G_{\delta}$-sets such that $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right) \subseteq D_{n}$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n}=\emptyset$. But by Lemma 3.2, $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)$ and $X \backslash D_{n}$ of $F_{\sigma}$-sets can be completely separated by Baire-. 5 functions. Hence by Theorem 2.2, there exists a Baire-. 5 function $h$ defined on $X$ such that, $g<h<f$, i.e., $X$ has the $B-.5$-insertion property for (cusB-.5, clsB-.5).

Remark 3.4. [15]. A space $X$ has the $c$-insertion property for (lsc,usc) iff $X$ is extremally disconnected and if for any decreasing sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ of open subsets of $X$ with empty intersection there exists a decreasing sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ of closed subsets of $X$ with empty intersection such that $G_{n} \subseteq F_{n}$ for each $n$.

Corollary 3.4. For every $G$ of $G_{\delta}$-set, $F_{\sigma}(G)$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set and in addition for every decreasing sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ of $G_{\delta}$-sets with empty intersection, there exists a decreasing sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ of $F_{\sigma}$-sets with empty intersection such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, G_{n} \subseteq F_{n}$ if and only if $X$ has the $B-.5-$ insertion property for $(c l s B-.5$, cus $B-.5)$.

Proof. Since for every $G$ of $G_{\delta}$-set, $F_{\sigma}(G)$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set, therefore by Corollary $3.2, X$ has the weak $B-.5$-insertion property for $(\operatorname{cls} B-.5, \operatorname{cus} B-.5)$. Now suppose that $f$ and $g$ are real-valued functions defined on $X$ with $g<f, g$ is cls $B-.5$, and $f$ is cus $B-.5$. Set $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)=\{x \in$ $\left.X:(f-g)(x)<3^{-n+1}\right\}$. Then since $f-g$ is cus $B-.5$, hence $\left\{A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of $G_{\delta}$-sets with empty intersection. By hypothesis, there exists a decreasing sequence $\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ of $F_{\sigma}$-sets with empty intersection such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right) \subseteq D_{n}$. Hence $X \backslash D_{n}$ and $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)$ are two disjoint $G_{\delta}$-sets and therefore by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
F_{\sigma}\left(A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)\right) \cap F_{\sigma}\left(\left(X \backslash D_{n}\right)\right)=\emptyset
$$

and therefore by Lemma 3.2, $X \backslash D_{n}$ and $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)$ are completely separable by Baire.5 functions. Therefore by Theorem 2.2, there exists a Baire-. 5 function $h$ on $X$ such that, $g<h<f$, i.e., $X$ has the $B-.5$-insertion property for $(c l s B-.5$, cus $B-.5)$.

On the other hand, suppose that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two disjoint $G_{\delta}$-sets. Since $G_{1} \cap G_{2}=\emptyset$. We have $G_{2} \subseteq G_{1}^{c}$. We set $f(x)=2$ for $x \in G_{1}^{c}, f(x)=\frac{1}{2}$ for $x \notin G_{1}^{c}$ and $g=\chi_{G_{2}}$.

Then since $G_{2}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set and $G_{1}^{c}$ is a $F_{\sigma}$-set, we conclude that $g$ is clsB-.5 and $f$ is $\operatorname{cus} B-.5$ and furthermore $g<f$. By hypothesis, there exists a Baire-. 5 function $h$ on $X$ such that, $g<h<f$. Now we set $F_{1}=\left\{x \in X: h(x) \leq \frac{3}{4}\right\}$ and $F_{2}=\{x \in X: h(x) \geq 1\}$. Then $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are two disjoint $F_{\sigma}$-sets contain $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively. Hence $F_{\sigma}\left(G_{1}\right) \subseteq F_{1}$ and $F_{\sigma}\left(G_{2}\right) \subseteq F_{2}$ and consequently $F_{\sigma}\left(G_{1}\right) \cap F_{\sigma}\left(G_{2}\right)=\emptyset$. By Lemma 2.1, for every $G$ of $G_{\delta}$-set, the set $F_{\sigma}(G)$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set.

Now suppose that $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of $G_{\delta}$-sets with empty intersection. We set $G_{0}=X$ and $f(x)=\frac{1}{n+1}$ for $x \in G_{n} \backslash G_{n+1}$. Since $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} G_{n}=\emptyset$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x \in G_{n} \backslash G_{n+1}, f$ is well-defined. Furthermore, for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, if $r \leq 0$ then
$\{x \in X: f(x)<r\}=\emptyset$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set and if $r>0$ then by Archimedean property of $\mathbb{R}$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{i+1} \leq r$. Suppose that $k$ is the least natural number with this property. Hence $\frac{1}{k}>r$. Now if $\frac{1}{k+1}<r$ then $\{x \in X: f(x)<r\}=G_{k}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set and if $\frac{1}{k+1}=r$ then $\left\{x \in X^{k}: f(x)<r\right\}=G_{k+1}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set. Hence $f$ is a $\operatorname{cus} B-.5$ on $X$. By setting $g=0$, we have conclude that $g$ is $c l s B-.5$ on $X$ and in addition $g<f$. By hypothesis there exists a Baire-. 5 function $h$ on $X$ suvh that, $g<h<f$.

Set $F_{n}=\left\{x \in X: h(x) \leq \frac{1}{n+1}\right\}$. This set is a $F_{\sigma}$-set. But for every $x \in G_{n}$, we have $f(x) \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$ and since $g<h<f$ thus $h(x)<\frac{1}{n+1}$, this means that $x \in F_{n}$ and consequently $G_{n} \subseteq F_{n}$.

By definition of $F_{n},\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of $F_{\sigma}$-sets and since $h>0, \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}=\emptyset$. Thus the conditions holds.
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