

Divulgaciones Matemáticas Vol. 20, No. 1 (2019), pp. 39-48

Insertion of a contra-Baire-1 (Baire-.5) function

Inserción de una función Contra-Baire-1 (Baire-.5)

Majid Mirmiran (mirmir@sci.ui.ac.ir)

Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan 81746-73441, Iran.

Binesh Naderi (naderi@mng.mui.ac.ir) School of Management and Medical Information, Medical University of Isfahan, Iran.

Abstract

A necessary and sufficient condition in terms of lower cut sets are given for the insertion of a Baire-.5 function between two comparable real-valued functions on the topological spaces that F_{σ} -kernel of sets are F_{σ} -sets.

Key words and phrases: Insertion, strong binary relation, Baire-.5 function, kernel of sets, lower cut set.

Resumen

Se proporciona una condición necesaria y suficiente en términos de conjuntos de cortes inferiores para la inserción de una función Baire-.5 entre dos funciones comparables de valores reales en los espacios topológicos donde el F_{σ} -kernel de los conjuntos es F_{σ} -sets.

Palabras y frases clave: Inserción, relación binaria fuerte, funcin Baire-.5, núcleo de conjuntos, conjunto de corte inferior.

1 Introduction

A generalized class of closed sets was considered by Maki in 1986 [16]. He investigated the sets that can be represented as union of closed sets and called them V-sets. Complements of V-sets, i.e., sets that are intersection of open sets are called Λ -sets [16].

Recall that a real-valued function f defined on a topological space X is called A-continuous [21] if the preimage of every open subset of \mathbb{R} belongs to A, where A is a collection of subsets of X. Most of the definitions of function used throughout this paper are consequences of the definition of A-continuity. However, for unknown concepts the reader may refer to [4, 10]. In the recent literature many topologists had focused their research in the direction of investigating different types of generalized continuity.

Received 05/11/2018. Revised 15/01/2019. Accepted 17/04/2019. MSC (2010): Primary 26A15; Secondary 54C30.

Corresponding author: Majid Mirmiran

J. Dontchev in [5] introduced a new class of mappings called contra-continuity. A good number of researchers have also initiated different types of contra-continuous like mappings in the papers [1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 20].

Results of Katětov [13, 14] concerning binary relations and the concept of an indefinite lower cut set for a real-valued function, which is due to Brooks [2], are used in order to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the insertion of a Baire-.5 function between two comparable realvalued functions on the topological spaces that F_{σ} -kernel of sets are F_{σ} -sets.

A real-valued function f defined on a topological space X is called *contra-Baire-1* (*Baire-.5*) if the preimage of every open subset of \mathbb{R} is a G_{δ} -set in X [22]. If g and f are real-valued functions defined on a space X, we write $g \leq f$ (resp. g < f) in case $g(x) \leq f(x)$ (resp. g(x) < f(x)) for all x in X.

The following definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [15].

A property P defined relative to a real-valued function on a topological space is a B – .5property provided that any constant function has property P and provided that the sum of a function with property P and any Baire-.5 function also has property P. If P_1 and P_2 are B – .5-properties, the following terminology is used:

- (i) A space X has the weak B-.5-insertion property for (P_1, P_2) if and only if for any functions g and f on X such that $g \leq f, g$ has property P_1 and f has property P_2 , then there exists a Baire-.5 function h such that $g \leq h \leq f$.
- (ii) A space X has the B .5-insertion property for (P_1, P_2) if and only if for any functions g and f on X such that g < f, g has property P_1 and f has property P_2 , then there exists a Baire-.5 function h such that g < h < f.

In this paper, for a topological space that F_{σ} -kernel of sets are F_{σ} -sets, is given a sufficient condition for the weak B – .5-insertion property. Also for a space with the weak B – .5-insertion property, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the space to have the B – .5-insertion property. Several insertion theorems are obtained as corollaries of these results.

2 The Main Result

Before giving a sufficient condition for insertability of a Baire-.5 function, the necessary definitions and terminology are stated.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a subset of a topological space (X, τ) . We define the subsets A^{Λ} and A^{V} as follows:

$$A^{\Lambda} = \bigcap \{ O : O \supseteq A, \ O \in (X, \tau) \} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{V} = \bigcup \{ F : F \subseteq A, \ F^{c} \in (X, \tau) \}.$$

In [6, 17, 19], A^{Λ} is called the *kernel* of A.

We define the subsets $G_{\delta}(A)$ and $F_{\sigma}(A)$ as follows:

$$G_{\delta}(A) = \bigcup \{ O : O \subseteq A, O \text{ is } G_{\delta}\text{-set} \} \text{ and } F_{\sigma}(A) = \bigcap \{ F : F \supseteq A, F \text{ is } F_{\sigma}\text{-set} \}$$

 $F_{\sigma}(A)$ is called the F_{σ} -kernel of A.

The following Lemma is a direct consequence of the definition F_{σ} -kernel of sets.

Lemma 2.1. The following conditions on the space X are equivalent:

- (i) For every G of G_{δ} -set we have $F_{\sigma}(G)$ is a G_{δ} -set.
- (ii) For each pair of disjoint G_{δ} -sets as G_1 and G_2 we have $F_{\sigma}(G_1) \cap F_{\sigma}(G_2) = \emptyset$.

The following first two definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [13, 14].

Definition 2.2. If ρ is a binary relation in a set S then $\bar{\rho}$ is defined as follows: $x \bar{\rho} y$ if and only if $y \rho \nu$ implies $x \rho \nu$ and $u \rho x$ implies $u \rho y$ for any u and v in S.

Definition 2.3. A binary relation ρ in the power set P(X) of a topological space X is called a strong binary relation in P(X) in case ρ satisfies each of the following conditions:

- 1. If $A_i \ \rho \ B_j$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then there exists a set C in P(X) such that $A_i \ \rho \ C$ and $C \ \rho \ B_j$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- 2. If $A \subseteq B$, then $A \bar{\rho} B$.
- 3. If $A \ \rho B$, then $F_{\sigma}(A) \subseteq B$ and $A \subseteq G_{\delta}(B)$.

The concept of a lower indefinite cut set for a real-valued function was defined by Brooks [2] as follows:

Definition 2.4. If f is a real-valued function defined on a space X and if $\{x \in X : f(x) < \ell\} \subseteq A(f,\ell) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \le \ell\}$ for a real number ℓ , then $A(f,\ell)$ is a *lower indefinite cut set* in the domain of f at the level ℓ .

We now give the following main results:

Theorem 2.1. Let g and f be real-valued functions on the topological space X, that F_{σ} -kernel of sets in X are F_{σ} - sets, with $g \leq f$. If there exists a strong binary relation ρ on the power set of X and if there exist lower indefinite cut sets A(f,t) and A(g,t) in the domain of f and gat the level t for each rational number t such that if $t_1 < t_2$ then $A(f,t_1) \rho A(g,t_2)$, then there exists a Baire-.5 function h defined on X such that $g \leq h \leq f$.

Proof. Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on the X such that $g \leq f$. By hypothesis there exists a strong binary relation ρ on the power set of X and there exist lower indefinite cut sets A(f,t) and A(g,t) in the domain of f and g at the level t for each rational number t such that if $t_1 < t_2$ then $A(f,t_1) \rho A(g,t_2)$.

Define functions F and G mapping the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} into the power set of X by F(t) = A(f,t) and G(t) = A(g,t). If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of \mathbb{Q} with $t_1 < t_2$, then $F(t_1) \ \bar{\rho} \ F(t_2), G(t_1) \ \bar{\rho} \ G(t_2)$, and $F(t_1) \ \rho \ G(t_2)$. By Lemmas 1 and 2 of [14] it follows that there exists a function H mapping \mathbb{Q} into the power set of X such that if t_1 and t_2 are any rational numbers with $t_1 < t_2$, then $F(t_1) \ \rho \ H(t_2), H(t_1) \ \rho \ H(t_2)$ and $H(t_1) \ \rho \ G(t_2)$.

For any x in X, let $h(x) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{Q} : x \in H(t)\}$. We first verify that $g \leq h \leq f$: If x is in H(t) then x is in G(t') for any t' > t; since x in G(t') = A(g,t') implies that $g(x) \leq t'$, it follows that $g(x) \leq t$. Hence $g \leq h$. If x is not in H(t), then x is not in F(t') for any t' < t; since x is not in F(t') = A(f,t') implies that f(x) > t', it follows that $f(x) \geq t$. Hence $h \leq f$.

Also, for any rational numbers t_1 and t_2 with $t_1 < t_2$, we have

$$h^{-1}(t_1, t_2) = G_{\delta}(H(t_2)) \setminus F_{\sigma}(H(t_1)).$$

Hence $h^{-1}(t_1, t_2)$ is a G_{δ} -set in X, i.e., h is a Baire-.5 function on X.

The above proof used the technique of Theorem 1 of [13].

Theorem 2.2. Let P_1 and P_2 be B – .5-property and X be a space that satisfies the weak B – .5insertion property for (P_1, P_2) . Also assume that g and f are functions on X such that g < f, ghas property P_1 and f has property P_2 . The space X has the B – .5-insertion property for (P_1, P_2) if and only if there exist lower cut sets $A(f - g, 3^{-n+1})$ and there exists a decreasing sequence $\{D_n\}$ of subsets of X with empty intersection and such that for each $n, X \setminus D_n$ and $A(f - g, 3^{-n+1})$ are completely separated by Baire – .5 functions.

Proof. Theorem 2.1 of [18].

3 Applications

Definition 3.1. A real-valued function f defined on a space X is called *contra-upper semi-Baire*. .5 (resp. contra-lower semi-Baire-.5) if $f^{-1}(-\infty, t)$ (resp. $f^{-1}(t, +\infty)$) is a G_{δ} -set for any real number t.

The abbreviations usc, lsc, cusB - .5 and clsB - .5 are used for upper semicontinuous, lower semicontinuous, contra-upper semi-Baire-.5, and contra-lower semi-Baire-.5, respectively.

Remark 3.1. [13, 14]. A space X has the weak c-insertion property for (usc, lsc) if and only if X is normal.

Before stating the consequences of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we suppose that X is a topological space that F_{σ} -kernel of sets are F_{σ} -sets.

Corollary 3.1. For each pair of disjoint F_{σ} -sets F_1, F_2 , there are two G_{δ} -sets G_1 and G_2 such that $F_1 \subseteq G_1, F_2 \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ if and only if X has the weak B – .5-insertion property for (cus B – .5, cls B – .5).

Proof. Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on the X, such that f is lsB_1, g is usB_1 , and $g \leq f$. If a binary relation ρ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $F_{\sigma}(A) \subseteq G_{\delta}(B)$, then by hypothesis ρ is a strong binary relation in the power set of X. If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of \mathbb{Q} with $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$A(f, t_1) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \le t_1\} \subseteq \{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\} \subseteq A(g, t_2);$$

since $\{x \in X : f(x) \le t_1\}$ is a F_{σ} -set and since $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\}$ is a G_{δ} -set, it follows that $F_{\sigma}(A(f,t_1)) \subseteq G_{\delta}(A(g,t_2))$. Hence $t_1 < t_2$ implies that $A(f,t_1) \rho A(g,t_2)$. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1.

On the other hand, let F_1 and F_2 are disjoint F_{σ} -sets. Set $f = \chi_{F_1^c}$ and $g = \chi_{F_2}$, then f is clsB - .5, g is cusB - .5, and $g \leq f$. Thus there exists Baire-.5 function h such that $g \leq h \leq f$. Set $G_1 = \{x \in X : h(x) < \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $G_2 = \{x \in X : h(x) > \frac{1}{2}\}$, then G_1 and G_2 are disjoint G_{δ} -sets such that $F_1 \subseteq G_1$ and $F_2 \subseteq G_2$.

Remark 3.2. [23]. A space X has the weak c-insertion property for (lsc, usc) if and only if X is extremally disconnected.

Corollary 3.2. For every G of G_{δ} -set, $F_{\sigma}(G)$ is a G_{δ} -set if and only if X has the weak B - .5-insertion property for (clsB - .5, cusB - .5).

Proof. Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on the X, such that f is clsB - .5, g is cusB - .5, and $f \leq g$. If a binary relation ρ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $F_{\sigma}(A) \subseteq G \subseteq F_{\sigma}(G) \subseteq G_{\delta}(B)$ for some G_{δ} -set g in X, then by hypothesis and Lemma 2.1 ρ is a strong binary relation in the power set of X. If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of \mathbb{Q} with $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$A(g, t_1) = \{ x \in X : g(x) < t_1 \} \subseteq \{ x \in X : f(x) \le t_2 \} = A(f, t_2);$$

since $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_1\}$ is a G_{δ} -set and since $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_2\}$ is a F_{σ} -set, by hypothesis it follows that $A(g, t_1) \rho A(f, t_2)$. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1.

On the other hand, Let G_1 and G_2 are disjoint G_{δ} -sets. Set $f = \chi_{G_2}$ and $g = \chi_{G_1^c}$, then f is clsB - .5, g is cusB - .5, and $f \leq g$.

Thus there exists Baire-.5 function h such that $f \leq h \leq g$. Set $F_1 = \{x \in X : h(x) \leq \frac{1}{3}\}$ and $F_2 = \{x \in X : h(x) \geq 2/3\}$ then F_1 and F_2 are disjoint F_{σ} -sets such that $G_1 \subseteq F_1$ and $G_2 \subseteq F_2$. Hence $F_{\sigma}(F_1) \cap F_{\sigma}(F_2) = \emptyset$.

Before starting the consequences of Theorem 2.2, we state and prove some necessary lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. The following conditions on the space X are equivalent:

- (i) Every two disjoint F_{σ} -sets of X can be separated by G_{δ} -sets of X.
- (ii) If F is a F_{σ} -set of X which is contained in a G_{δ} -set G, then there exists a G_{δ} -set H such that $F \subseteq H \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H) \subseteq G$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Suppose that $F \subseteq G$, where F and G are F_{σ} -set and G_{δ} -set of X, respectively. Hence, G^c is a F_{σ} -set and $F \cap G^c = \emptyset$.

By (i) there exists two disjoint G_{δ} -sets G_1, G_2 such that $F \subseteq G_1$ and $G^c \subseteq G_2$. But

$$G^c \subseteq G_2 \Rightarrow G_2^c \subseteq G,$$

and

$$G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset \Rightarrow G_1 \subseteq G_2^c$$

hence

$$F \subseteq G_1 \subseteq G_2^c \subseteq G$$

and since G_2^c is a F_{σ} -set containing G_1 we conclude that $F_{\sigma}(G_1) \subseteq G_2^c$, i.e.,

$$F \subseteq G_1 \subseteq F_{\sigma}(G_1) \subseteq G.$$

By setting $H = G_1$, condition *(ii)* holds.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Suppose that F_1, F_2 are two disjoint F_{σ} -sets of X.

This implies that $F_1 \subseteq F_2^c$ and F_2^c is a G_{δ} -set. Hence by *(ii)* there exists a G_{δ} -set H such that, $F_1 \subseteq H \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H) \subseteq F_2^c$. But

$$H \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H) \Rightarrow H \cap (F_{\sigma}(H))^c = \emptyset$$

and

$$F_{\sigma}(H) \subseteq F_2^c \Rightarrow F_2 \subseteq (F_{\sigma}(H))^c.$$

Furthermore, $(F_{\sigma}(H))^c$ is a G_{δ} -set of X. Hence $F_1 \subseteq H, F_2 \subseteq (F_{\sigma}(H))^c$ and $H \cap (F_{\sigma}(H))^c = \emptyset$. This means that condition (i) holds. **Lemma 3.2.** Suppose that X is the topological space such that we can separate every two disjoint F_{σ} -sets by G_{δ} -sets. If F_1 and F_2 are two disjoint F_{σ} -sets of X, then there exists a Baire-.5 function $h: X \to [0, 1]$ such that $h(F_1) = \{0\}$ and $h(F_2) = \{1\}$.

Proof. Suppose F_1 and F_2 are two disjoint F_{σ} -sets of X. Since $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$, hence $F_1 \subseteq F_2^c$. In particular, since F_2^c is a G_{δ} -set of X containing F_1 , by Lemma 3.1, there exists a G_{δ} -set $H_{1/2}$ such that,

$$F_1 \subseteq H_{1/2} \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H_{1/2}) \subseteq F_2^c.$$

Note that $H_{1/2}$ is a G_{δ} -set and contains F_1 , and F_2^c is a G_{δ} -set and contains $F_{\sigma}(H_{1/2})$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, there exists G_{δ} -sets $H_{1/4}$ and $H_{3/4}$ such that,

$$F_1 \subseteq H_{1/4} \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H_{1/4}) \subseteq H_{1/2} \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H_{1/2}) \subseteq H_{3/4} \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H_{3/4}) \subseteq F_2^c.$$

By continuing this method for every $t \in D$, where $D \subseteq [0,1]$ is the set of rational numbers that their denominators are exponents of 2, we obtain G_{δ} -sets H_t with the property that if $t_1, t_2 \in D$ and $t_1 < t_2$, then $H_{t_1} \subseteq H_{t_2}$. We define the function h on X by $h(x) = \inf\{t : x \in H_t\}$ for $x \notin F_2$ and h(x) = 1 for $x \in F_2$.

Note that for every $x \in X, 0 \leq h(x) \leq 1$, i.e., h maps X into [0,1]. Also, we note that for any $t \in D, F_1 \subseteq H_t$; hence $h(F_1) = \{0\}$. Furthermore, by definition, $h(F_2) = \{1\}$. It remains only to prove that h is a Baire-.5 function on X. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have if $\alpha \leq 0$ then $\{x \in X : h(x) < \alpha\} = \emptyset$ and if $0 < \alpha$ then $\{x \in X : h(x) < \alpha\} = \cup \{H_t : t < \alpha\}$. Hence, they are G_{δ} -sets of X. Similarly, if $\alpha < 0$ then $\{x \in X : h(x) > \alpha\} = X$ and if $0 \leq \alpha$ then $\{x \in X : h(x) > \alpha\} = \cup \{(F_{\sigma}(H_t))^c : t > \alpha\}$ hence, every of them is a G_{δ} -set. Consequently h is a Baire-.5 function.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that X is the topological space such that every two disjoint F_{σ} -sets can be separated by G_{δ} -sets. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) Every countable convering of G_{δ} -sets of X has a refinement consisting of G_{δ} -sets such that, for every $x \in X$, there exists a G_{δ} -set containing x such that it intersects only finitely many members of the refinement.
- (ii) Corresponding to every decreasing sequence $\{F_n\}$ of F_{σ} -sets with empty intersection there exists a decreasing sequence $\{G_n\}$ of G_{δ} -sets such that, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, F_n \subseteq G_n$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). suppose that $\{F_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of F_{σ} -sets with empty intersection. Then $\{F_n^c : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable covering of G_{δ} -sets. By hypothesis (i) and Lemma ??, this covering has a refinement $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that every V_n is a G_{δ} -set and $F_{\sigma}(V_n) \subseteq F_n^c$. By setting $F_n = (F_{\sigma}(V_n))^c$, we obtain a decreasing sequence of G_{δ} -sets with the required properties. (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Now if $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable covering of G_{δ} -sets, we set for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

 $F_n = (\bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i)^c$. Then $\{F_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of F_{σ} -sets with empty intersection. By (*ii*) there exists a decreasing sequence $\{G_n\}$ consisting of G_{δ} -sets such that, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_n \subseteq G_n$. Now we define the subsets W_n of X in the following manner:

- W_1 is a G_{δ} -set of X such that $G_1^c \subseteq W_1$ and $F_{\sigma}(W_1) \cap F_1 = \emptyset$.
- W_2 is a G_{δ} -set of X such that $F_{\sigma}(W_1) \cup G_2^c \subseteq W_2$ and $F_{\sigma}(W_2) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$, and so on. (By Lemma 3.1, W_n exists).

44

Then since $\{G_n^c : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a covering for X, hence $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a covering for X consisting of G_{δ} -sets. Moreover, we have

- 1. $F_{\sigma}(W_n) \subseteq W_{n+1}$.
- 2. $G_n^c \subseteq W_n$.
- 3. $W_n \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$.

Now suppose that $S_1 = W_1$ and for $n \ge 2$, we set $S_n = W_{n+1} \setminus F_{\sigma}(W_{n-1})$. Then since $F_{\sigma}(W_{n-1}) \subseteq W_n$ and $S_n \supseteq W_{n+1} \setminus W_n$, it follows that $\{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ consists of G_{δ} -sets and covers X. Furthermore, $S_i \cap S_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|i-j| \le 1$. Finally, consider the following sets:

$$egin{array}{ccccc} S_1 \cap H_1, & S_1 \cap H_2 \ S_2 \cap H_1, & S_2 \cap H_2, & S_2 \cap H_3 \ S_3 \cap H_1, & S_3 \cap H_2, & S_3 \cap H_3, & S_3 \cap H_4 \end{array}$$

and continue ad infinitum. These sets are G_{δ} -sets, cover X and refine $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. In addition, $S_i \cap H_j$ can intersect at most the sets in its row, immediately above, or immediately below row.

Hence if $x \in X$ and $x \in S_n \cap H_m$, then $S_n \cap H_m$ is a G_{δ} -set containing x that intersects at most finitely many of sets $S_i \cap H_j$. Consequently, $\{S_i \cap H_j : i \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \dots, i+1\}$ refines $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that its elements are G_{δ} -sets, and for every point in X we can find a G_{δ} -set containing the point that intersects only finitely many elements of that refinement. \Box

Remark 3.3. [13, 14]. A space X has the c-insertion property for (usc, lsc) if and only if X is normal and countably paracompact.

Corollary 3.3. X has the B-.5-insertion property for (cus B-.5, cls B-.5) if and only if every two disjoint F_{σ} -sets of X can be separated by G_{δ} -sets, and in addition, every countable covering of G_{δ} -sets has a refinement that consists of G_{δ} -sets such that, for every point of X we can find a G_{δ} -set containing that point such that, it intersects only a finite number of refining members.

Proof. Suppose that F_1 and F_2 are disjoint F_{σ} -sets. Since $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$, it follows that $F_2 \subseteq F_1^c$. We set f(x) = 2 for $x \in F_1^c$, $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $x \notin F_1^c$, and $g = \chi_{F_2}$. Since F_2 is a F_{σ} -set, and F_1^c is a G_{δ} -set, therefore g is cusB - .5, f is clsB - .5 and furthermore g < f. Hence by hypothesis there exists a Baire-.5 function h such that, g < h < f. Now by setting $G_1 = \{x \in X : h(x) < 1\}$ and $G_2 = \{x \in X : h(x) > 1\}$. We can say that G_1 and G_2 are disjoint G_{δ} -sets that contain F_1 and F_2 , respectively. Now suppose that $\{F_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of F_{σ} -sets with empty intersection. Set $F_0 = X$ and define for every $x \in F_n \setminus F_{n+1}$, $f(x) = \frac{1}{n+1}$. Since $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$ and for every $x \in X$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that, $x \in F_n \setminus F_{n+1}$, f is well defined. Furthermore, for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, if $r \leq 0$ then $\{x \in X : f(x) > r\} = X$ is a G_{δ} -set and if r > 0 then by Archimedean property of \mathbb{R} , we can find $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{i+1} \leq r$. Now suppose that k is the least natural number such that $\frac{1}{k+1} \leq r$. Hence $\frac{1}{k} > r$ and consequently, $\{x \in X : f(x) > r\} = X \setminus F_k$ is a G_{δ} -set. Therefore, f is clsB - .5. By setting g = 0, we have g is cusB - .5 and g < f. Hence by hypothesis there exists a Baire-.5 function h on X such that, g < h < f.

By setting $G_n = \{x \in X : h(x) < \frac{1}{n+1}\}$, we have G_n is a G_{δ} -set. But for every $x \in F_n$, we have $f(x) \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$ and since g < h < f therefore $0 < h(x) < \frac{1}{n+1}$, i.e., $x \in G_n$ therefore $F_n \subseteq G_n$ and since h > 0 it follows that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset$. Hence by Lemma 3.3, the conditions holds.

On the other hand, since every two disjoint F_{σ} -sets can be separated by G_{δ} -sets, therefore by Corollary 3.1, X has the weak B – .5-insertion property for (cusB - .5, clsB - .5). Now suppose that f and g are real-valued functions on X with g < f, such that, g is cusB - .5 and f is clsB - .5. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$A(f - g, 3^{-n+1}) = \{x \in X : (f - g)(x) \le 3^{-n+1}\}.$$

Since g is cusB - .5, and f is clsB - .5, therefore f - g is clsB - .5. Hence $A(f - g, 3^{-n+1})$ is a F_{σ} -set of X. Consequently, $\{A(f - g, 3^{-n+1})\}$ is a decreasing sequence of F_{σ} -sets and furthermore since 0 < f - g, it follows that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A(f - g, 3^{-n+1}) = \emptyset$. Now by Lemma 3.3, there exists a decreasing sequence $\{D_n\}$ of G_{δ} -sets such that $A(f - g, 3^{-n+1}) \subseteq D_n$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \emptyset$. But by Lemma 3.2, $A(f - g, 3^{-n+1})$ and $X \setminus D_n$ of F_{σ} -sets can be completely separated by Baire-.5 functions. Hence by Theorem 2.2, there exists a Baire-.5 function h defined on X such that, g < h < f, i.e., X has the B - .5-insertion property for (cusB - .5, clsB - .5).

Remark 3.4. [15]. A space X has the c-insertion property for (lsc, usc) iff X is extremally disconnected and if for any decreasing sequence $\{G_n\}$ of open subsets of X with empty intersection there exists a decreasing sequence $\{F_n\}$ of closed subsets of X with empty intersection such that $G_n \subseteq F_n$ for each n.

Corollary 3.4. For every G of G_{δ} -set, $F_{\sigma}(G)$ is a G_{δ} -set and in addition for every decreasing sequence $\{G_n\}$ of G_{δ} -sets with empty intersection, there exists a decreasing sequence $\{F_n\}$ of F_{σ} -sets with empty intersection such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, G_n \subseteq F_n$ if and only if X has the B - .5-insertion property for (clsB - .5, cusB - .5).

Proof. Since for every G of G_{δ} -set, $F_{\sigma}(G)$ is a G_{δ} -set, therefore by Corollary 3.2, X has the weak B - .5-insertion property for (clsB - .5, cusB - .5). Now suppose that f and g are real-valued functions defined on X with g < f, g is clsB - .5, and f is cusB - .5. Set $A(f - g, 3^{-n+1}) = \{x \in X : (f - g)(x) < 3^{-n+1}\}$. Then since f - g is cusB - .5, hence $\{A(f - g, 3^{-n+1})\}$ is a decreasing sequence of G_{δ} -sets with empty intersection. By hypothesis, there exists a decreasing sequence $\{D_n\}$ of F_{σ} -sets with empty intersection such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A(f - g, 3^{-n+1}) \subseteq D_n$. Hence $X \setminus D_n$ and $A(f - g, 3^{-n+1})$ are two disjoint G_{δ} -sets and therefore by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$F_{\sigma}(A(f-g,3^{-n+1})) \cap F_{\sigma}((X \setminus D_n)) = \emptyset$$

and therefore by Lemma 3.2, $X \setminus D_n$ and $A(f - g, 3^{-n+1})$ are completely separable by Baire-.5 functions. Therefore by Theorem 2.2, there exists a Baire-.5 function h on X such that, g < h < f, i.e., X has the B – .5-insertion property for (clsB - .5, cusB - .5).

On the other hand, suppose that G_1 and G_2 be two disjoint G_{δ} -sets. Since $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$. We have $G_2 \subseteq G_1^c$. We set f(x) = 2 for $x \in G_1^c$, $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $x \notin G_1^c$ and $g = \chi_{G_2}$.

Then since G_2 is a G_{δ} -set and G_1^c is a F_{σ} -set, we conclude that g is clsB - .5 and f is cusB - .5 and furthermore g < f. By hypothesis, there exists a Baire-.5 function h on X such that, g < h < f. Now we set $F_1 = \{x \in X : h(x) \leq \frac{3}{4}\}$ and $F_2 = \{x \in X : h(x) \geq 1\}$. Then F_1 and F_2 are two disjoint F_{σ} -sets contain G_1 and G_2 , respectively. Hence $F_{\sigma}(G_1) \subseteq F_1$ and $F_{\sigma}(G_2) \subseteq F_2$ and consequently $F_{\sigma}(G_1) \cap F_{\sigma}(G_2) = \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.1, for every G of G_{δ} -set, the set $F_{\sigma}(G)$ is a G_{δ} -set.

Now suppose that $\{G_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of G_{δ} -sets with empty intersection. We set $G_0 = X$ and $f(x) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ for $x \in G_n \setminus G_{n+1}$. Since $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x \in G_n \setminus G_{n+1}$, f is well-defined. Furthermore, for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, if $r \leq 0$ then

 $\{x \in X : f(x) < r\} = \emptyset$ is a G_{δ} -set and if r > 0 then by Archimedean property of \mathbb{R} , there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{i+1} \leq r$. Suppose that k is the least natural number with this property. Hence $\frac{1}{k} > r$. Now if $\frac{1}{k+1} < r$ then $\{x \in X : f(x) < r\} = G_k$ is a G_{δ} -set and if $\frac{1}{k+1} = r$ then $\{x \in X : f(x) < r\} = G_{k+1}$ is a G_{δ} -set. Hence f is a cusB - .5 on X. By setting g = 0, we have conclude that g is clsB - .5 on X and in addition g < f. By hypothesis there exists a Baire-.5 function h on X such that, g < h < f.

Set $F_n = \{x \in X : h(x) \leq \frac{1}{n+1}\}$. This set is a F_{σ} -set. But for every $x \in G_n$, we have $f(x) \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$ and since g < h < f thus $h(x) < \frac{1}{n+1}$, this means that $x \in F_n$ and consequently $G_n \subseteq F_n$.

By definition of F_n , $\{F_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of F_{σ} -sets and since h > 0, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$. Thus the conditions holds.

4 Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by Centre of Excellence for Mathematics(University of Isfahan).

References

- A. Al-Omari and M.S. Md Noorani. Some properties of contra-b-continuous and almost contra-b-continuous functions, European J. Pure. Appl. Math., 2(2)(2009), 213–230.
- [2] F. Brooks. Indefinite cut sets for real functions. Amer. Math. Monthly, 78(1971), 1007–1010.
- [3] M. Caldas and S. Jafari. Some properties of contra-β-continuous functions. Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ., 22(2001), 19–28.
- [4] J. Dontchev. The characterization of some peculiar topological space via α- and β-sets. Acta Math. Hungar., 69(1-2)(1995), 67-71.
- [5] J. Dontchev. Contra-continuous functions and strongly S-closed space. Intrnat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 19(2)(1996), 303–310.
- [6] J. Dontchev and H. Maki. On sg-closed sets and semi-λ-closed sets. Questions Answers Gen. Topology, 15(2)(1997), 259-266.
- [7] E. Ekici. On contra-continuity. Annales Univ. Sci. Bodapest, 47(2004), 127–137.
- [8] E. Ekici. New forms of contra-continuity. Carpathian J. Math., 24(1)(2008), 37–45.
- [9] A.I. El-Magbrabi. Some properties of contra-continuous mappings. Int. J. General Topol., 3(1-2)(2010), 55-64.
- [10] M. Ganster and I. Reilly. A decomposition of continuity. Acta Math. Hungar., 56(3-4)(1990), 299–301.
- [11] S. Jafari and T. Noiri. Contra-continuous function between topological spaces. Iranian Int. J. Sci., 2(2001), 153–167.

- [12] S. Jafari and T. Noiri. On contra-precontinuous functions, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc., 25(2002), 115–128.
- [13] M. Katětov. On real-valued functions in topological spaces. Fund. Math., 38(1951), 85–91.
- [14] M. Katětov. Correction to, "On real-valued functions in topological spaces". Fund. Math., 40(1953), 203–205.
- [15] E. Lane. Insertion of a continuous function. Pacific J. Math., 66(1976), 181–190.
- [16] H. Maki. Generalized Λ-sets and the associated closure operator, The special Issue in commemoration of Prof. Kazuada IKEDA's Retirement, (1986), 139–146.
- [17] S. N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad On R_{Os}-spaces. Portugal. Math., **34**(1975), 213–217.
- [18] M. Mirmiran. Insertion of a function belonging to a certain subclass of ℝ^X. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., Vol. 28, No. 2 (2002), 19–27.
- [19] M. Mrsevic. On pairwise R and pairwise R₁ bitopological spaces. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie, **30**(1986), 141–145.
- [20] A.A. Nasef. Some properties of contra-continuous functions. Chaos Solitons Fractals, 24(2005), 471–477.
- [21] M. Przemski. A decomposition of continuity and α -continuity. Acta Math. Hungar., **61**(1-2)(1993), 93–98.
- [22] H. Rosen. Darboux Baire-.5 functions. Proceedings of The American Mathematical Society, 110(1)(1990), 285–286.
- [23] M.H. Stone. Boundedness properties in function-lattices. Canad. J. Math., 1(1949), 176–189.